_		
1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS	
2	PHILADELPHIA COUNT	
-		-
3	PENNSYLVANIA MANUFACTURERS'	: CIVIL ACTION
	ASSOCIATION INSURANCE	:
4	COMPANY,	:
	Plaintiff,	:
5	- vs	: JANUARY TERM, 2012
	THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE	:
6	UNIVERSITY AND JOHN DOE A,	:
	Defendants.	: NO: 04126
7		
	THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE	: CIVIL ACTION
8	UNIVERSITY,	:
	Plaintiff,	:
9	- vs	: NOVEMBER TERM, 2013
	PENNSYLVANIA MANUFACTURERS'	:
10	ASSOCIATION INSURANCE	:
	COMPANY,	:
11	Defendants.	: NO: 03195
12	THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE	: CIVIL ACTION
	UNIVERSITY,	:
13	Plaintiff,	:
	- vs	: NOVEMBER TERM, 2013
14		:
	ASSOCIATION INSURANCE	:
15	COMPANY,	
	Defendants.	: NO: 03197
16		
17	Total 3 and 18 and 19 a	
18	Friday, May 29, 2015	
10	Widestand oral denogition of CDAHAM	
19	Videotaped oral deposition of GRAHAM	
20	SPANIER, taken pursuant to notice, was held at the l	
20	offices of Kleinbard Bell & Brecker, LLC, 1650 Market Street, One Liberty Place, 46th Floor, Philadelphia,	
21	Pennsylvania 19103, at 9:43 a.m., on the above date,	
21	before Lisa DePascale, a Court Reporter and Notary	
22	Public of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and	
22	Public of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and Delaware.	
23	GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
4.5	877.370.3377 ph/917.591.5672 fax	
24	deps@golkov	
47	acpsedornov	
1		

- 1 child.
- 2 What did you mean by "anything
- 3 resembling that with any child"?
- 4 A. I'm not sure what I meant specifically. If you
- 5 go back and read the prior few pages, this was a very
- 6 hostile interview --
- 7 Q. I want to ask you about that.
- 8 A. -- I was trying to be perfectly clear that I
- 9 was being very inclusive about -- because I don't know
- 10 what all the crimes could be, but anything that
- 11 crossed into this territory, of course, would have
- 12 been something necessitating intervention, but the
- 13 bottom line is, I never heard about anything like
- 14 that.
- 15 Q. Well, based on these statements, do you have or
- 16 did you have an understanding that there could be
- inappropriate activity with minors that might be
- 18 something less than criminal?
- 19 MS. KORNFELD: Objection.
- MS. AINSLIE: Objection, calls for
- 21 total speculation.
- MR. ENGELMYER: I asked if he had an
- understanding.
- MS. AINSLIE: Tell me that again, just

rephrase it, would you? 1 MR. ENGELMYER: I'll have her read it 2 back. 3 Would you be kind enough. 4 (Court reporter read the record as 5 requested.) 6 If you had an 7 MS. AINSLIE: understanding you may answer that. THE WITNESS: I had no understanding of anything that merited a level of intervention 10 1.1. other than the intervention that we took. 12 BY MR. ENGELMYER: And I'm sorry, when you say "other than the 13 intervention that we took, " what are we referring to? 14 The intervention that we took, I'm just not sure what 15 you're referencing? 16 When I had this brief heads up in 2001 that 17 someone was uncomfortable after seeing Jerry in the 18 shower, but that he wasn't sure what he saw because it 19 was indirect and around a corner, I felt, as did Tim 20 Curley and Gary Schultz in our brief discussion, that 21 we were uncomfortable with that as well. 22 didn't want him to be doing that again. And we agreed 23 that he should have a directive that showering with 24

- 1 his kids, presumably his Second Mile kids, was not
- 2 something that was appropriate for Penn State athletic
- 3 facilities. And that we should give him a directive
- 4 not to do that again. And out of an abundance of what
- 5 I felt at the time was responsibility on our part,
- 6 because he was no longer an employee of Penn State, to
- 7 inform the head of the Second Mile that we were
- 8 uncomfortable with this, and that we did not want any
- 9 Second Mile kids to be in our locker room or shower
- 10 facilities.
- 11 Q. If I may, I forgot to ask you this; are you
- 12 currently an employee of Penn State as you sit here
- 13 today?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. When you say Mr. Sandusky was not an employee
- 16 as of 2001, and excuse my ignorance, he did have
- emeritus status as of 2001, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I just don't know. If you have emeritus status
- does that mean you are still not an employee of the
- 21 University?
- 22 A. That's correct we have thousands of people --
- 23 Q. That have --
- 24 A. -- that have emeritus status. It is virtually