

Tom Harman

5/5

Last evening

- re interview 11 1/2 yr old
- only change: added what happened in shower demonstrated on chair how Jerry hugged - from back hands around abdomen + down to thighs - picked him up + held him at shower head - rinse soap out of ears
- Observed by PSU FB + concerned about getting Jerry in trouble - getting FB tickets

- 2 -

- Kid has been seeing psychologist
- probably emotional problems but articulate + believable
- Mother to psychologist + said she would call child abuse hot line + will generate an incident no - with Dept of Public Welfare
- Other boy - interviewed last night
- Similar act
- locker room
- wrestling
- kissed on head.

- 3 -

Hanging from behind  
Shower

No allegation beyond that

Kids drew diagrams of  
shower rooms.

he initially went down to  
shower 3 yds sticks  
away & Gary told  
him to come down  
to shower next to his.

- Local child abuse people  
Mtz at FOU today to  
decide what to do.

- 4 -

Either way, case worker  
felt they would interview  
Gary

also this opening of Pandora's  
box?  
Other children?

- 2/25/01
- ③. Tell Chair<sup>\*</sup> of Board of Second Mile.
  - ②. Report to Dept of Welfare.
  - ①. Tell J.S to avoid bringing children alone into Lash Bldg

\* who's the chair ??

---

**From:** Gary C. Schultz <gcs2@psu.edu>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2001 2:13 PM  
**To:** Graham Spanier; Tim Curley  
**Subject:** Re: Meeting

<html>

Tim and Graham, this is a more humane and upfront way to handle this. I can support this approach, with the understanding that we will inform his organization, with or without his cooperation (I think that's what Tim proposed). We can play it by ear to decide about the other organization. At 10:18 PM 2/27/01 - 0500, Graham Spanier wrote: <blockquote type=cite cite>Tim: This approach is acceptable to me. It requires you to go a step further and means that your conversation will be all the more difficult, but I admire your willingness to do that and I am supportive. The only downside for us is if the message isn't "heard" and acted upon, and we then become vulnerable for not having reported it. But that can be assessed down the road. The approach you outline is humane and a reasonable way to proceed. At 08:10 PM 2/27/01 - 0500, Tim Curley wrote: <blockquote type=cite cite>I had scheduled a meeting with you this afternoon about the subject we discussed on Sunday. After giving it more thought and talking it over with Joe yesterday-- I am uncomfortable with what we agreed were the next steps. I am having trouble with going to everyone, but the person involved. I think I would be more comfortable meeting with the person and tell him about the information we received. I would plan to tell him we are aware of the first situation. I would indicate we feel there is a problem and we want to assist the individual to get professional help. Also, we feel a responsibility at some point soon to inform his organization and maybe the other one about the situation. If he is cooperative we would work with him to handle informing the organization. If not, we do not have a choice and will inform the two groups. Additionally, I will let him know that his guests are not permitted to use our facilities. I need some help on this one. What do you think about this approach?</blockquote><br> -----<br>

Graham B. Spanier<br>President<br>The Pennsylvania State University<br>201 Old Main<br>University Park, Pennsylvania 16802<br>Phone: 814-865-7611<br>email: gspanier@psu.edu</blockquote></html>